

Experimental Study on Removal of Zinc Metal Ions from Aqueous Solution using *Ulva Lobata* Sp. as an Adsorbent

L. Nageswara Rao

Caledonian College of Engineering, Sultanate of Oman

G. Prabhakar

S.V.U. College of Engineering, Tirupati-517 502, India

M. Venkateswara Rao

RVR&JC College of Engineering, Guntur, India

S. Feroz

Caledonian College of Engineering, Sultanate of Oman

Abstract

The removal of zinc from aqueous solution by adsorption on *Ulva lobata* sp. was studied as a function of contact time, pH of the solution, metal ion concentration, adsorbent concentration and adsorbent size. Batch experiments results showed that the adsorptive capacity of *Ulva lobata* sp. was 13.8 mg/g of adsorbent. The Langmuir and Freundlich models were used to describe the adsorption equilibrium of zinc on *Ulva lobata* sp. and the adsorption followed the Langmuir isotherm. The Langmuir and Freundlich constants for adsorption of zinc on *Ulva lobata* sp. were determined. The pseudo first and second order rate expressions were used to correlate the experimental data. The kinetic constants were determined for both the models and the second order rate expression was found to be more suitable.

Keywords: Adsorption; zinc; Equilibrium studies; Kinetic studies; *Ulva lobata* sp

I. INTRODUCTION

Metals like Cd, Hg, Pb, Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Co are, at elevated concentrations, detrimental to human health and ecosystem stability, and threshold values have been set for these metals for waste water discharged into natural recipients and for drinking water. WHO and EU recommend in their guidelines for drinking water quality a threshold limit for zinc and WHO finds it unacceptable with more than 3 mg/l zinc in drinking water [1, 2]. Runoff water from industries, roads and cities may contain high concentrations of heavy metals. In order to fulfill the threshold criteria and to reduce pollution, contaminated waters need to be cleaned. Common cleaning methods comprise chemical precipitation, ion exchange, electrolysis, membrane filtration, and sorption to metal oxides, clays and organic sorbents. These methods differ with respect to cost, complexity and efficiency [3–6].

Biosorbents are an alternative to conventional methods. The term ‘biosorbent’ includes the usage of dead biomass (such as fibre, peat, and wool) as well as living plants and bacteria as sorbents [3]. Biosorbents represent cheap filter materials often with high affinity and capacity, and they are already available in most places. There are some limitations pertaining to the usage of living organisms as sorbents, e.g., they cannot function at low pH level, or at toxic levels of metal ions, while plant fibers on the contrary are chemically and physically more robust. Biosorption is not restricted to one sorption mechanism only, but comprises several mechanisms such as ion exchange, chelation, precipitation, sorption by physical forces, and ion entrapment in inter and intra fibrillar capillaries and spaces of the structural lignin and polysaccharide networks [3-7]. Some types of biosorbents are broad range with no specific priority of metal ion bonding, while others can be specific for certain types of metal ions [7].

Plant fibres consist mainly of lignin, cellulose, hemicellulose, and some pectin and extractives (mainly fat, fatty acids, fatty alcohols, phenols, terpenes, steroids, resin acids, rosin and waxes). Metal ions sorb mainly to carboxylic (primarily present in pectin and hemicellulose but also extractives and lignin), phenolic (lignin and extractives) and to some extent hydroxylic (cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, extractives, and pectin) and carbonyl groups (lignin) [8–10]. Strong bonding of metal ions by the hydroxylic, carboxylic and phenolic groups often involves complexation and ion exchange [3-7].

In many laboratory tests of metal ion sorption to plant fibres, ground fibres have been used [8, 11–16]. However, it is possible to form a filter by whole, unground fibres using a simple non-woven mat forming technology. These mats just need a mesh cassette to be kept in place, and are easily handled and disposed off after use [17].

Most studies of metal ions sorption to plant fibers are based on experiments with metal concentrations in the mg/l range [8, 11–16], which frequently exceeds the level of contamination in natural waters. The objectives of the present investigation were to quantify the adsorption of zinc to unmodified *Ulva lobata* sp. at relative low concentrations with particular focus on adsorption kinetics, the pH dependence of adsorption, adsorption strength and capacity. Zinc was chosen because it represents rather different types of metal ions with different binding affinities and mechanisms.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Preparation of Adsorbent

The green colored marine algae *Ulva lobata sp.* used in the present study was collected from the near the coastal belt of Guntur region, Andhra Pradesh, India. The collected algae was washed with deionized water several times to remove impurities. The washing process was continued till the wash water contains no dirt. The washed algae was then completely dried in sunlight for 10 days. The resulting product was directly used as adsorbent. The dried algae was then cut into small pieces and was powdered using domestic mixer. In the present study the powdered materials in the range of 75–212 μm particle size were then directly used as adsorbents without any pretreatment.

B. Chemical and Metal Solution

The stock solution of zinc was prepared by dissolving the sulphate salt in distilled water. The test solutions containing single zinc ions were prepared by diluting 1 g/l stock metal ion solution. The initial metal ion concentration ranged from 20 to 100 mg/l. The pH of each solution was adjusted to the required value with HCl or NaOH before mixing the adsorbent.

C. Analysis of Zinc

The concentration of initial and final zinc in the adsorption experiments was determined by using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu AA – 6300 model) at a wavelength of 213.9 nm, slit width 0.2 nm and lamp current 5.0 mA. The results are given as a unit of adsorbed and unadsorbed metal concentrations per gram of adsorbent in solution at equilibrium and calculated by the following equation.

$$q_e = (C_0 - C_{eq}) \frac{V}{X} \quad (1)$$

Where X is the adsorbent concentration (g/l), q_e the adsorbed metal ion quantity per gram of adsorbent at equilibrium (mg/g), C_0 the initial metal concentration (mg/l), C_{eq} the metal concentration at equilibrium (mg/l) and V is the working solution volume (ml).

D. Metal Adsorption Experiments

Adsorption experiments were conducted at 30°C in batch with 0.1 g of the *Ulva lobata sp.* in a 30ml of working solution volume. The flasks were then agitated at 180 rpm. Experiments for determining the kinetics of the process were performed at 20–100 mg/l initial metal concentrations for zinc. Samples were taken at desired intervals and the adsorbent was removed by filtration using Whitman filter paper. The heavy metal concentration in the resulting supernatant was determined. The impact of the solution pH on the metal biosorption was investigated in the same way except that the initial pH of the solutions was adjusted from 2.0 to 10.0 with the addition of either 0.1M NaOH or 0.1M HCl.

E. Adsorption Equilibrium

Equilibrium studies were carried out by agitating 30 ml of zinc solutions of initial concentrations varying from 20 to 100 mg/l with 0.1–0.5 g of algae at room temperature for 20 min at a constant stirring speed at a pH of 5. During the adsorption, a rapid equilibrium is established between adsorbed metal ions on the adsorbent (q_e) and unadsorbed metal ions in solution (C_{eq}). This equilibrium can be represented by the Langmuir [18] or Freundlich [19] adsorption isotherms, which are widely used to analyze data for water and wastewater treatment applications. The Langmuir equation which is valid for monolayer adsorption on to a surface a finite number of identical sites and is given by the equation.

$$q = \frac{Q_{\max} b C_{eq}}{1 + b C_{eq}} \quad (2)$$

where Q_{\max} is the maximum amount of the metal ion per unit weight of algae to form a complete monolayer on the surface bound at high C_{eq} (mg/g), and b is a constant related to the affinity of the binding sites (l/mg) Q_{\max} represents a practical limiting adsorption capacity when the surface is fully covered with metal ions and assists in the comparison of adsorption performance, particularly in cases where the sorbent did not reach its full saturation in experiments. Q_{\max} and b can be determined from the linear plot of C_{eq}/q_e versus C_{eq} [18–20].

The empirical Freundlich equation based on adsorption on a heterogeneous surface is given by

$$q_e = K_F C_{eq}^n \quad (3)$$

Where K_F and n are Freundlich constants characteristic of the system. K_F and n are indicators of adsorption capacity and adsorption intensity, respectively. Eq. (3) can be linearized in logarithmic form and Freundlich constants can be determined. The Freundlich isotherm is also more widely used but provides no information on the monolayer adsorption capacity, in contrast to the Langmuir model [20–24].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Effect of pH

Earlier studies on heavy metal adsorption have shown that pH was the single most important parameter affecting the adsorption process [25, 26]. In all cases, metal adsorption by the *Ulva lobata sp.* increases with increasing pH reaching to a maximum value 6 and then showed a rapid decline in adsorption. The equilibrium metal uptake of the *Ulva lobata sp.* from 20 to 100 mg/l zinc solution at various pH values is shown in Figure 1.




Fig. 1: Effect of pH on zinc adsorption by *Ulva lobata sp.* for 0.1 g/30mL of adsorbent concentration

pH dependence of metal uptake could be related to the functional groups of the biomass and also to solution chemistry. At pH values less than 6, metals are in their free ionic form and as such the sharp increase in metal uptake. This leads to the hypothesis that the cell wall functional groups and their associated ionic state are responsible for the extent of adsorption. Biosorbent materials primarily contain weak acidic and basic functional groups. It follows from the theory of acid-base equilibria that, in the pH range 2.5–5, the binding of heavy metal cations is determined primarily by the state of dissociation of the weak acidic groups. Carboxyl groups ($-\text{COOH}$) are the important groups for metal uptake by biological materials [27, 28]. At pH 5, there are lower numbers of competing hydrogen ions and more ligands are exposed with negative charges, resulting in greater zinc sorption. But for pH values from 6 to 10, lower adsorption capacity was observed for zinc, this might be due to the precipitation and lower polarity of zinc ions at higher pH values. In this study, these zinc cations at around 5 would be expected to interact more strongly with the negatively charged binding sites in the adsorbent. As a result, the optimum pH for zinc adsorption was found as 5 and the other adsorption experiments were performed at this pH value. The effect of pH on the adsorption of zinc has been investigated by various investigators using a variety of different adsorbent types [29, 30, 31], and the optimum adsorption capacity of zinc at pH 5 has been reported.

B. Effect of Contact Time

Figure 2 shows the effect of contact time on the adsorption of zinc by adsorbent from aqueous solution. The rate of zinc adsorption by the *U. lobata sp.* was very rapid, reaching maximum adsorption capacity within 20 min of contact time and the adsorption does not change significantly with further increase in contact time.




Fig. 2: Effect of contact time on adsorption of zinc by *Ulva lobata sp.* for 0.1 g/30 mL of adsorbent concentration

Microbial metal uptake by nonliving cells, which is metabolism-independent passive binding process to cell walls (adsorption), and to other external surfaces, and is generally considered as a rapid process, taking place within a few minutes [32]. The rapid metal sorption is also highly desirable for successful deployment of the biosorbents for practical applications [33].

C. Effect of Adsorbent Concentration

Fig. 3 and 4 shows the effect of metal ion concentration on the adsorption of zinc by *U. lobata sp.* The data shows that the metal uptake increases and the percentage adsorption of zinc decreases with increase in metal ion concentration. This increase (4.35–10.54 mg/g) is a result of increase in the driving force, i.e. concentration gradient. However, the percentage adsorption of chromium ions on *U. lobata sp.* was decreased from 76.29 to 45.93%. Though an increase in metal uptake was observed, the decrease in percentage adsorption may be attributed to lack of sufficient surface area to accommodate much more metal available in the solution. The percentage adsorption at higher concentration levels shows a decreasing trend whereas the equilibrium uptake of chromium displays an opposite trend. At lower concentrations, all chromium ions present in solution could interact with the binding sites and thus the percentage adsorption was higher than those at higher chromium ion concentrations. At higher concentrations, lower adsorption yield is due to the saturation of adsorption sites. As a result, the purification yield can be increased by diluting the wastewaters containing high metal ion concentrations.




Fig. 3: Effect of metal concentration on the adsorption of zinc by *Ulva lobata sp.* at for 0.1 g/30 mL of adsorbent concentration




Fig. 4: Effect of metal concentration on the Metal uptake of zinc by *Ulva lobata sp.* at for 0.1 g/30 mL of adsorbent concentration

Thus, the adsorption sites remain unsaturated during the sorption process due to a lower adsorptive capacity utilization of the sorbent, which decreases the adsorption efficiency. Some of these reasons contributed also in limiting the maximum percentage removal, thus, 100% removal was not attained. This suggests that a more economical design for the removal of heavy metal ions can be carried out using small batches of sorbent rather than in a single batch [34].

D. Effect of adsorbent size

The effect of different adsorbent particle sizes (75–212 μm) on percentage removal of zinc was investigated. Fig. 5 reveals that the adsorption of zinc on *U. lobata sp.* decreases from 74.1 to 58.5% with the increased particle size from 75 to 212 μm at an initial concentration of 20 mg/l. It is well known that decreasing the particle size of the adsorbent increases the surface area, which in turn increases in adsorption capacity.




Fig. 5: Effect of *Ulva lobata sp.* particle size on adsorption of zinc for 20 mg/L of metal concentration

E. Adsorbent dosage




Fig. 6: Effect of *Ulva lobata sp.* dosage on adsorption of zinc for 20 mg/L of metal concentration

The effect of adsorbent dosage on zinc uptake and zinc percent removal is shown in Fig.6. The figure shows that the percentage adsorption and zinc uptake increased marginally with the increase in adsorbent dosage. The increase in adsorbent dosage from 0.1 to 0.5 g. resulted in an increase from 74.1 to 76.42% for adsorption of zinc at 20 mg/L. This is because of the availability of more binding sites for complexation of zinc ions. The increase in metal uptake by increasing adsorbent dosage is attributed to many reasons, such as availability of solute, electrostatic interactions, interference between binding sites, and reduced mixing at high biomass densities. Thus, the adsorption sites remain unsaturated during the sorption process due to a lower adsorptive capacity utilization of the sorbent, which decreases the adsorption efficiency. Some of these reasons contributed also in limiting the maximum percentage removal, thus 100% removal is not attained. This suggests that a more economical design for the removal of heavy metal ions can be carried out using small batches of sorbent rather than in a single batch.

F. Adsorption Kinetics

The prediction of adsorption rate gives important information for designing batch adsorption systems. Information on the kinetics of solute uptake is required for selecting optimum operating conditions for full-scale batch process. But, however, the adsorption rate within the first 5 min was observed to be very high and thereafter the reaction proceeds at a slower rate till equilibrium and finally a steady state was obtained after equilibrium. The saturation time was found to be 20 min based on the initial metal concentration. The kinetics of the adsorption data was analyzed using two kinetic models, pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order kinetic model. These models correlate solute uptake, which are important in predicting the reactor volume. These models are explained as follows.

1) Pseudo-First Order Model

The pseudo-first-order equation of Lagergren [29] is generally expressed as follows:

$$\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_1(q_e - q_t) \quad (4)$$

where q_e and q_t are the sorption capacities at equilibrium and at time t , respectively (mg/g) and k_1 is the rate constant of pseudo first-order sorption (min⁻¹). After integration and applying boundary conditions, $q_t = 0$ to $q_t = q_t$ at $t = 0$ to $t = t$; the integrated form of Eq. (4) becomes:

$$\log(q_e - q_t) = \log(q_e) - \frac{k_1}{2.303} t \quad (5)$$

The pseudo-first-order rate constant k_1 can be obtained from the slope of plot between $\log(q_e - q)$ versus time, t (Fig.7). The calculated k_1 values and their corresponding linear regression correlation coefficient values are shown in Table 2. The linear regression correlation coefficient values R^2 found in the range of 0.9190–0.9795, which shows that this model can be applied to predict the adsorption kinetic model.




Fig. 7: Pseudo first order kinetics for zinc onto *Ulva lobata sp.* (pH=5, Adsorbent concentration=0.1 g/30 mL, Adsorbent= 75 μm)

2) The Pseudo-Second-Order Equation

If the rate of sorption is a second-order mechanism, the pseudo-second-order chemisorption kinetic rate equation is expressed as [30]:

$$\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_{II}(q_e - q_t)^2 \quad (6)$$

Where q_e and q_t are the sorption capacity at equilibrium and at time t , respectively (mg/g) and k is the rate constant of pseudo-second-order sorption (g/(mg min)). For the boundary conditions $q_t = 0$ to $q_t = q_t$ at $t = 0$ to $t = t$; the integrated form of Eq. (6) becomes:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{kq_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t \quad (7)$$

where t is the contact time (min), q_e (mg/g) and q_t (mg/g) are the amount of the solute adsorbed at equilibrium and at any time, t . Eq. (7) does not have the problem of assigning as effective q_e . If pseudo-second-order kinetics is applicable, the plot of t/q_t against t of Eq. (7) should give a linear relationship, from which q_e and k can be determined from the slope and intercept of the plot (Fig. 5.1.21) and there is no need to know any parameter beforehand.

The pseudo-second-order rate constant k_{II} , the calculated q_e value and the corresponding linear regression correlation coefficient value R_2^2 are given in Table 3. At an initial zinc concentration of 20 mg/L, the linear regression correlation coefficient R_2^2 value was higher. The higher R_2^2 value confirms that the adsorption data were well represented by pseudo-second order kinetic model. At all initial zinc concentrations, the linear regression correlation coefficient R_2^2 values were higher and ranged from 0.9980 to 0.9988. The higher R_2^2 values confirm that the adsorption data are well represented by pseudo-second

order kinetics and supports the assumption behind the model that the adsorption is due to chemisorptions. Pseudo-second order kinetics for zinc onto *U. lobata sp.* (weight of the adsorbent: 0.1 g/30 ml, volume of the zinc solution: 30 ml, pH 5).

A comparison of the maximum capacity Q_{max} of *U.lobata sp.* with those of some other adsorbents reported in literature is given in Table 1. Differences of metal uptake are due to the properties of each adsorbent such as structure, functional groups and surface area.




Fig. 8: Pseudo-second-order kinetics for zinc onto *Ulva lobata sp.* (pH=5, Adsorbent concentration=0.1 g/30 mL, Adsorbent size=75 μ m)

G. Adsorption Equilibrium

The adsorption equilibrium defines the distribution of a solute phase between the liquid phases and solid phases after the adsorption reaction reached equilibrium condition. In the present study, equilibrium studies were carried out at room temperature 30°C. The equilibrium data were analyzed using two of the most commonly used isotherm equations, Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models. The equilibrium data were very well represented by the Langmuir equilibrium model. The calculated isotherm constants at room temperature 30°C were given in Table 1. The best-fit equilibrium model was determined based on the linear regression correlation coefficient R_2^2 .




Fig. 9: Pseudo-first-order kinetics for zinc onto *Ulva lobata sp.* (pH=5, Adsorbent concentration=0.1g/30mL, Adsorbent size=75mm)

Table - 1

Equilibrium constants for zinc onto *Ulva lobata Sp.*

Freundlich isotherm	K_F (mg/g)	n (g/l)	R^2
		1.4208	0.4359
Langmuir isotherm	b (l/mg)	Q_{max} (mg/g)	R^2
		0.0936	13.8

Table - 2

Pseudo first order kinetic constants for zinc onto *Ulva lobata sp.*

Initial concentration, mg/L	zinc		
	Rate constant, k_1 (min^{-1})	Amount of zinc adsorbed on adsorbent, q_{eq} (mg/g)	Correlation coefficient, R_1^2
20	0.2038	1.975	0.9190
40	0.1856	2.406	0.9550
60	0.1752	2.668	0.9789
80	0.1589	2.780	0.9789
100	0.1451	3.082	0.9795

Table - 3

Pseudo second order kinetic constants for zinc onto *Ulva lobata sp.*

Initial concentration, mg/L	zinc		
	Rate constant, k_{II} (g/mg min)	Amount of zinc adsorbed on adsorbent, q_{eq} (mg/g)	Correlation coefficient, R_2^2
20	0.0125	08.17	0.9980
40	0.0098	12.67	0.9984
60	0.0100	14.39	0.9980
80	0.0099	14.79	0.9981
100	0.0053	19.88	0.9988

Equilibrium curves for zinc onto *Ulva lobata sp.* observed that the adsorption data were very well represented by Langmuir isotherm with an average higher correlation coefficient of 0.9988. The higher R^2 value for Langmuir isotherm confirms the approximation of equilibrium data to Henry's law at lower initial concentration. From Table 2, the Langmuir adsorption capacity Q_{max} (mg/g) is 13.8 and the equilibrium constant b (l/mg) is 0.0936. The Freundlich constant K_F indicates the sorption capacity of the sorbent and the value of K_F is 1.4208 mg/g. Furthermore, the value of 'n' at equilibrium is 0.4359. It is noted that the value of 'n' is smaller than 1, reflecting the unfavorable adsorption.

IV. CONCLUSION

The biomass of the marine algae *Ulva lobata sp.* demonstrated a good capacity of zinc biosorption, highlighting its potential for effluent treatment processes. The kinetics of zinc adsorption by inactive biomass of the marine algae *Ulva lobata sp.* was very fast. The adsorption process is a function of the adsorbent concentration, pH, metal ion concentration and adsorbent size. *Ulva lobata sp.* possesses adsorption capacity of 13.6 mg/g, confirming that the algae under these conditions can be effectively used as adsorbent. The experimental data gave good fit with Langmuir isotherm and the adsorption coefficients agreed well with the conditions of favorable adsorption. Kinetic studies indicated that the adsorption tends to follow pseudo-second order kinetics for the range of concentrations studied for the entire adsorption period.

REFERENCES

- [1] Council of the European Union, Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for human consumption. Official J. Eur. Commun. L. 330 (1998) 32–54.
- [2] World Health Organization, WHO Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Vol. 2, 2nd ed., World Health Organization, 1996, pp. 254–275.
- [3] S.E. Bailey, T.J. Olin, R.M. Bricka, D.D. Adrian, A review of potentially low-cost sorbents for heavy metals, *Water Res.* 33 (11) (1999) 2469–2479.
- [4] S.S. Krishnan, A. Cancilla, R.E. Jervis, Waste-water treatment for heavy metal toxins using plant and hair as adsorbents, *Sci. Total Environ.* 68 (1988) 267–273.
- [5] K. Seki, N. Saito, M. Aoyama, Removal of heavy metal ions from solutions by coniferous barks, *Wood Sci. Technol.* 31 (6) (1997) 441–447.
- [6] N. Verma, R. Rehal, Bioscavenging of Cu(II) ions from aqueous solution with rice bran, *Bioresour. Technol.* 49 (3) (1994) 277–278.
- [7] B. Volesky, Z.R. Holan, Biosorption of heavy-metals, *Biotechnol. Prog.* 11(3) (1995) 235–250.
- [8] S.Y. Quek, B. Al Duri, D.A.J. Wase, C.F. Forster, Coir as a biosorbent of copper and lead, *Process Safety Environ. Prot.* 76(B1) (1998) 50–54.
- [9] J.M. Randall, R.L. Bermann, V. Garrett, A.C.J. Waiss, Use of bark to remove heavy metal ions from waste solutions, *For. Prod. J.* 24 (9) (1974) 80–84.
- [10] S. Senthilkumar, S. Bharathi, D. Nithyanandhi, V. Subburam, Biosorption of toxic heavy metals from aqueous solutions, *Bioresour. Technol.* 75 (2) (2000) 163–165.
- [11] S. Al Asheh, Z. Duvnjak, Sorption of cadmium and other heavy metals by pine bark, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 56 (1-2) (1997) 35–51.
- [12] H.M. Aly, A.A.M. Daifullah, Potential use of bagasse pith for the treatment of wastewater containing metals, *Adsorp. Sci. Technol.* 16 (1) (1998), 33–38.
- [13] K. Kadirvelu, C. Namasivayam, Agricultural by-product as metal adsorbent: sorption of lead (II) from aqueous solution onto coir pith carbon, *Environ. Technol.* 21 (10) (2000) 1091–1097.
- [14] S.K. Srivastava, A.K. Singh, A. Sharma, Studies on the uptake of lead and zinc by lignin obtained from black liquor—a paper-industry waste material, *Environ. Technol.* 15 (4) (1994) 353–361.
- [15] I. Villaescusa, M. Martinez, N. Miralles, Heavy metal uptake from aqueous solution by cork and yohimbe bark wastes, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 75 (9) (2000) 812–816.

- [16] T. Viraraghavan, M.M. Dronamraju, Removal of copper, nickel and zinc from waste-water by adsorption using peat, *J. Environ. Sci. Health A: Environ. Sci. Eng. Toxic Hazard. Cont.* 28 (6) (1993) 1261–1276.
- [17] J.S. Han, R.M. Rowell, Water filtration mats using wood fibre, *Techline Properties and Use of Wood, Composites, and Fibre Products (VI-18)*, Forest Products Laboratory, Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture, 2003.
- [18] I. Langmuir, The constitution and fundamental properties of solids and liquids, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 38 (11) (1916) 2221–2295.
- [19] H.M.F. Freundlich, *Z. Phys. Chem. (Leipzig)* 57A (1906) 385–470.
- [20] Z. Aksu, Y.S. Wong, N.F.Y. Tam, *Algae for Waste Water Treatment*, Springer-Verlag/Landes Bioscience, Germany, 1998, pp. 37–53 (Chapter 3).
- [21] E.W. Wilde, J.R. Benemann, Bioremoval of heavy metals by the use of microalgae, *Biotechnol. Adv.* 11 (1993) 781–812.
- [22] B. Wehrheim, M. Wettern, Biosorption of cadmium, copper and lead by isolated mother cell walls and whole cells of *Chlorella fusca*, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 41 (1994) 725–728.
- [23] Z. Aksu, T.A. Kutsal, A comparative study for biosorption characteristics of heavy metal ions with *C. vulgaris*, *Environ. Technol.* 11 (1990) 979–987.
- [24] Z. Aksu, D. Ozer, H.I. Ekiz, T. Kutsal, A. Caglar, Investigation of biosorption of chromium(VI) on *C. crispate* in two-staged batch reactor, *Environ. Technol. (England)* 17 (1996) 215–220.
- [25] G. Donmez, Z. Aksu, A. Ozturk, T. Kutsal, A comparative study on heavy metal biosorption characteristics of some algae, *Process Biochem.* 34 (1999) 885–892.
- [26] Z. Aksu, T. Kutsal, S. Gun, N. Hacosmanoglu, M. Gholaminejad, Investigation of biosorption of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Cr(VI) ions to activated sludge bacteria, *Environ. Technol.* 12 (10) (1991) 915–921.
- [27] J.L. Gardea-Torresdey, M.K. Becker-Hapak, J.M. Hosea, D.W. Darnall, Effect of chemical modification of algal carboxyl groups on metal ion binding, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 24 (9) (1990) 1372–1378.
- [28] Z. Sadowski, Effect of biosorption of Pb(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) on the zeta potential and flocculation of *Nocardia sp.*, *Miner. Eng.* 14 (2001) 547–552.
- [29] L. Norton, K. Baskaran, T. McKenzie, Biosorption of zinc from aqueous solutions using biosolids, *Adv. Environ. Res.* 8 (2004) 629–635.
- [30] B. Nasernejad, T. Esslam Zadeh, B. Bonakdar Pour, M. Esmaail Bygi, A. Zamani, Comparison for biosorption modeling of heavy metals (Cr(III), Cu(II), Zn(II)) adsorption from wastewater by carrot residues, *Process Biochem.* 40 (2005) 1319–1322.
- [31] X.C. Chen, Y.P. Wang, Q. Lin, J.Y. Shi, W.X. Wu, Y.X. Chen, Biosorption of copper(II) and zinc(II) from aqueous solution by *Pseudomonas putida* CZL, *Colloid. Surf. B: Biointerf.* 46 (2005) 101–107.
- [32] G.M. Gadd, L. De Rome, Microbial treatment of metal pollution: a working biotechnology, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 29 (1988) 610.
- [33] B. Vokesky, in: B. Volesky (Ed.), *Biosorption of Heavy Metals*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1990.
- [34] F.A. Abu Al-Rub, M.H. El-Naas, F. Benyahia, I. Ashour, Biosorption of nickel on blank alginate beads, free and immobilized algal cells, *Process Biochem.* 39 (2004) 1767–1773.
- [35] Y.S. Ho, G. McKay, The kinetics of sorption of basic dyes from aqueous solution by sphagnum moss peat, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* 76 (1998) 822–827.